

San Diego, Cal. Aug. 3<sup>rd</sup>. 47.

Honorable Mayor & City Council.

Gentlemen: In your deliberations as to the advisability of tightening the provisions of the Dog Ordinance, please do not lose sight of the fact that chicken owners are limited as to number and noisy Roosters are prohibited.

If people insist on keeping dogs for breeding or commercial purposes they should be willing to pay for that privilege.

Remember Gentlemen the very crux of the whole controversy is this if any person harbors a Dog even though confined in a yard and on leash, if that Dog, disturbs even one persons rest to the extent that it injures or lowers his or her working capacity they should be compelled by law to abate the nuisance promptly.

Perhaps many of you gentlemen own Dogs, but I bet sure none of you would question the fairness of the above statement. If crowing Roosters are a menace to an individuals peace of mind, why not barking Dogs.

Please do not lose sight of the fact that although not organized as clubs like the opposition, there are thousands of

home owners here who think it high time  
some teeth were put in the present ordinance  
prohibiting night barking dogs.

This ordinance should not be shelved  
but you gentlemen should stick to  
your guns and at least compel people who  
own night barking dogs, destroying the  
peace, health and security of others, to get  
rid of the animal at once!

Thanking you for your consideration of  
the foregoing, feel sure that your body  
will in drafting the ordinance embody  
in it a provision against dogs who  
render sleep impossible because of their  
almost constant yapping. You will  
as a body win the gratitude of the  
vast majority, not just a few disgruntled  
dog owners who, do not seem to care  
much for the rights of others. Thank you.

Sincerely E. Breuneman.

DOCUMENT NO. 376383

FILED AUG 7 1947

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

*Communications from  
Residents favouring  
passage of proposed  
ordinance regulating  
the keeping of  
Dogs.*

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

AUG 7 8 27 AM 1947

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

San Diego, Calif.,

Aug. 5, 1947.

City Council.

Dear Sirs - We are very much  
in favor of the ordinance  
requiring dogs to be kept on  
leash at all times or to be  
confined in the owner's yard.

We have been bothered a  
great deal by dogs who destroy  
our new lawn, also flowers  
and shrubs.

Carl W. Stanley

Type Carl W Stanley

POST OFFICE  
SAN DIEGO, CALIF.

AUG 7 11 15 AM 1947

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

San Diego, Calif., Aug 5, 1947.

City Council

Dear Sirs - We are very much in favor of the proposed ordinance requiring dogs to be kept off the streets and we hope it will be enforced. We have been bothered by dogs damaging our property and annoying in various ways.

Yours Sincerely,  
Mrs. Walter C. Wright

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

AUG 7 11 15 AM 1947

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

DR. AND MRS. HARRY WILKINSON  
3599 GRANADA AVENUE  
SAN DIEGO 4, CALIFORNIA

Aug. 6-47  
Dear Committee: —

We are far keeping  
dog on<sup>the</sup> leash, at  
all times.

awhile ago a dog  
rushed on our  
steps, I fell and  
broke my ankle &  
injured my back.  
we went to the

People <sup>up</sup> told them  
to keep their dog  
at least as away  
from here. We are  
not the people to  
make a lot of talk  
about such things.

But - something has  
to be done, there are  
about 19 dogs going  
past here every day  
and our yard is a  
mess keeps us very  
busy clearing up besides

-3-

damaging foliage &  
flowers we keep  
replacing all of  
the while.

Yours as always  
Oud Wilkinson  
Ms. Harry Wilkinsons

(we are far dogs on  
leashes & off. of  
people's property.  
at all times.)

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

AUG 7 11 12 AM 1947

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

San Diego Cal.

Aug 5, 1947

City Council

Dear Sirs

I like dogs in their place, but I live in town now and dogs are a nuisance running over flowers, digging them up to bury bones we have awfully <sup>fertilizer</sup> mess to clean up after them, they keep folks awake nights, I wish dog lovers would take care of their dogs and not let them bother neighbors



Substance No. 26

WHITE

Howard Ledger

Thanking <sup>you</sup> in advance for your new ordinance Mr & Mrs O. A. Burgess

STATIONER  
AND PRINTER'S OFFICE

AUG 7 11 13 AM 1947

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

2133 Pine Street,  
San Diego 3,  
California.

August 6, 1947.

City Clerk's Office,  
Civic Center,  
San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen:

As a citizen of San Diego and a property owner of San Diego at the above address, I would like to express my opinion regarding the new proposed ordinance which will more strictly control dogs.

I very much favor the passing of this ordinance. I think just such a new ordinance is much needed to control dog owners who let their dogs bark throughout the night disturbing the sleep of neighbors. A person has a right to a good night's rest. These barking dogs, day or night, are a perfect nuisance, a disturber of the peace, and a positive menace to health. I have been very much disturbed myself by noisy dogs in the adjoining yards.

As matters stand now, property owners have no protection whatever. It is not fair

or right.

It is not fair that ones home should be ruined by barking dogs, whose owners will do nothing to even try to stop them, when the peace and quiet at night is shattered, and the only thing one can do is try to sell the property and leave.

I hope very much this proposed new ordinance will be carried.

Yours respectfully,

(Miss) Agnes H. Ford -

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

APR 7 10 00 AM 1947

RECEIVED  
MAY 1 1947

8/6 City Clerk  
for Dog File

from  
City Mgr

FRANK B. BENNETT  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
340 BANK OF AMERICA BUILDING  
625 BROADWAY  
SAN DIEGO 1, CALIFORNIA  
PHONE MAIN 7218

July 29th 1947

CHAS B WINCOTE ESQ  
City Councilman  
Civic Center  
San Diego Calif

Re NEW DOG ORDINANCE

Sir:

I am heartily in favor of the proposed new Dog Ordinance, and something should be done about cats too.

I own a nice corner at Palmetto Way and Arbor Drive, try to keep a clean lawn and yard, but it is an almost hopeless task what with the loose dogs and cats making their toilet in my yard; some dog owners even have the gall to bring their dogs on leash to perform their ablutions on my front lawn in preference to messing up their own premises.

During the day the neighborhood cats stalk and kill the mocking birds, yowl under our windows at night, dig up and scatter any soft topsoil in order to leave their dirty messes.

I was in the City Prosecutor's office for several years and I certainly can corroborate what the present Prosecutor, Mr. Ed Law, has to say about the multiplicity of complaints due to cats and dogs.

From years of observation I have come to the conclusion that people become such damned fools over their pets that they care little if at all about the rights of others, and nothing short of a strict ordinance will keep the situation under control. When I was a boy here, with great open spaces between houses, things were different, but San Diego is built up now and needs big town control; we must tighten up on this dog and cat situation.

There are enough necessary annoyances incident to city life without having to put up with an entirely unnecessary dog and cat pestilence. Perhaps a tax of \$50 per head on these varmints would ease the situation and help prune the crop.

Yours in sorrow,  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

FBB CN

AUG 7 2 06 PM 1947

Frank B. Bennett

RECORDED & INDEXED  
SEP 1 1947

376393

DOCUMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FILED AUG 7 1947

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

*Communications from  
residents favoring  
proposed ordinance  
regulating the keeping  
of dogs*

5663 Market St.  
San Diego - 2 - Calif  
August 4, 1947

City Council  
San Diego - Calif

To Whom it may Concern:

This is in regard to the proposed new Dog Ordinance. My husband and I are very much in favor of this becoming a law, and for strict enforcement of it.

My neighbor must love his dog very much, to be sure. But no one else does, because he runs about all of our yards constantly, doing a great deal of damage. It is a herd dog, and is the size of a young colt. His master says, he is just a puppy.

When property owners in this neighborhood complained to his master about the damage he wrought to lawns, vegetable gardens etc, they were laughed at and told that the dog was only "cultivating". This man, of course, hasnt done any landscaping, but the rest of us have. The bare ground in his yard cannot be ruined.

In our yard he clawed, ripped and completely ruined the large canvas pad on the "chaise lounge". Being unable to afford to buy a new one at the cost of \$12.00, I had to buy several yards of canvas and go to a lot of trouble and make one. The dog also ruined shrubbery

and flowers. His droppings lying about the yard are very distasteful to us. It has taken me the 2 1/2 years we live here to landscape our yard. Having a crippled leg, and using crutches every step, and having to sit on a bench has made it all doubly hard for me. We are proud of our yard now, and you can see why it makes me so angry to see a great big dog tear this up, ~~up~~.

There are also far too many other dogs straying around here. I love dogs, but feel they should live out in the country, where they have no close neighbors.

Our neighbors dog is rarely on his leash. The master lets him loose every morning and night and the boy looses him and allows him to run in our yards all day. The boy beats this dog unmercifully and cruelly. When I shout at the dog to leave our yard, his master calls me cruel, and shouts bad names at me. But his boy beating the dog, is I think the height of cruelty.

This dog has also kept me awake countless nights with his barking.

Yours very truly  
Mrs. Erwin Block

P.S. My husband complained to the authorities but never got any action.

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

AUG 7 3 58 PM 1947

RECEIVED  
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

August 6, 1947

City Council's Office  
Civic Center  
San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen;

We too think that the proposed ordinance to control dogs should be passed as there is nothing more annoying than to have to lie awake at night listening to dogs all up and down the neighborhood bark.

We like dogs, but feel that if people are not interested enough to train them properly or take care of them, they should not have dogs.

There is not much incentive either in keeping a yard pretty when the neighbors' dogs ruin the lawn and bushes.

Sincerely,

Mrs. E. Wilson

717-26th St

San Diego, Calif.

376375

DOCUMENT NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FILED AUG 6 1947 \_\_\_\_\_

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

*Comm. from Residents  
favoring the proposed  
ordinance regulating the  
keeping of dogs.*

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

AUG 3 21 PM 1947

DOCUMENT No. 376179

Filed August 4, 1947

FRED W. SICK, City Clerk

By Clark M. Foote, Deputy

By \_\_\_\_\_ Deputy

COMMUNICATIONS

FROM

Residents relative to  
proposed ordinance  
regulating the keeping  
of dogs.

(For the ordinance.)