

San Diego, Calif. April 29, 1947

Mr. Jean DuPaul,  
City Attorney,  
Civic Center,  
San Diego, Calif.

Dear Sir:-

We the undersigned citizens of San Diego, residing in the immediate vicinity of 4594 Georgia Street, corner of Madison Avenue and Georgia, respectfully request that you take steps to relieve us of a nuisance at the above address as set forth below:

At this address Mr. Wm. C. Sandrock keeps twenty-two dogs, eight full-grown. These dogs are allowed to bark all day and disturb the neighborhood at five o'clock in the morning. Some of us attend the sick and others work at night and are unable to get our proper rest.

This disturbance has gone on for years and he scorns any persuasion on our part to get him to discontinue the noise. He tells us to move if we don't like it, that it doesn't bother him. April 26th it was necessary to call the police at eight o'clock in the evening to have the noise stopped after an all day session. We are looking forward now to a cessation of this

disturbance, and to peace and quiet that we are entitled to.

Respectfully submitted.

Name	Address
<u>Mrs. C. C. Julien</u>	<u>1821 Madison Ave</u>
<u>Mrs. B. J. White</u>	<u>4539 1/2 Park Blvd</u>
<u>Mrs. J. Corodemas</u>	<u>4555 Park Blvd.</u>
<u>Mrs. L. S. Papot</u>	<u>4557. Park Blvd</u>
<u>George Corodemas</u>	<u>4555 park Blvd.</u>
<u>Mrs. &amp; Mrs. George Baruch</u>	<u>4608 Georgia</u>
<u>W. Shaver</u>	<u>4593 Georgia St.</u>
<u>Mrs. A. E. Shaver</u>	<u>4593 Georgia</u>
<u>Mrs. Lydia E. Van Tolkenburgh</u>	<u>4585 Georgia</u>
<u>Lewis E. Van Tolkenburgh</u>	<u>4585 Georgia.</u>
<u>Marquerite F. Jenkins</u>	<u>4611 Georgia</u>
<u>Margaret V Jenkins</u>	<u>4611 Georgia</u>
<u>Mrs. Ethel M. Morrow</u>	<u>1916 Madison Ave.</u>
<u>J. K. Morrow</u>	<u>"</u>
<u>Mrs. Linn R. Wilson</u>	<u>4537 1/2 Park Blvd.</u>
<u>Mrs. Blanche H. Ross - 4588 Park Blvd</u>	

Alfred K. Ross  
4588 Park Blvd

RECORDED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 28 2 44 PM 1947  
July 28, 1947

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

City Council of San Diego  
San Diego, California

Dear Sirs,

I am very much in favor of the new ordinance  
tightening restrictions on the control of dogs.  
I am a dog lover and dog owner, but love humanity  
more.

Sincerely,

Effe Johnson

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Effe Johnson". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned below the typed name.

RECORDED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

4087 Iowa St.  
San Diego 2,  
California.  
July 28, 1947..

JUL 28 2 42 PM 1947

The Honorable Council, CO. CALIFORNIA  
1600 Pacific Highway,  
San Diego 2, Calif.

Gentlemen:

I have seen in the news paper you are about to vote on an ordinance prohibiting dogs from running loose in the streets.

I am passed eighty years old, and have been bitten by dogs several times, while going to the store, or taking walks. Just the other night a dog ran out at me barking, and snapping at my heels. A woman called out and said "Flossie won't bite you", but she made no effort to call the dog off, meanwhile it was still barking furiously, and snapping at my heels.

Several others have complained of the same thing happening to them, by this same dog.

I love to take walks, but I am almost afraid to, as I never know when some dog is going to jump out at me.

I also have a nice lawn, and I am continually bothered by dogs digging holes in it.

I will appreciate it very much if this law is passed to protect us Citizens against these Vicious dogs.

Respectfully

*William H Hedrick*

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

*Contractor*

**GUNNAR JOHNSON**

*and Builder*

JUL 28 2 46 PM 1947

2505

~~325~~ THIRTY-THIRD STREET-SAN DIEGO 2, CALIFORNIA

TELEPHONE RANDOLPH 7362

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

July 28, 1947

City Council of San Diego  
San Diego, California

Dear Sirs,

In regard to the proposed ordinance for tightening restrictions of dogs in San Diego, I am very much in favor that it should be passed.

I have a dog and have had dogs for twenty years but I think this new proposed law is one of the best ones you have proposed since I came to San Diego.

Sincerely,



Gunnar Johnson

COMMUNICATIONS  
DIVISION

JUL 28 2 42 PM 1947

3564 University Ave.,  
San Diego 4, Calif.,  
July 28, 1947,

Mayor Harley Knox  
and Councilmen,  
Civic Center, San Diego, Calif.,

Gentlemen;

I wish to commend your proposed ordinance which will require dogs to remain on leash with no free running in the streets. Too often I see dogs trotting leisurely across University Ave. while motorists endanger themselves and others in attempt to stop their cars suddenly.

I do have a heart for pets but I believe they are a source of danger in several ways, to the citizenry.

Yours truly,

*Mrs. W. O. Bourne*  
Mrs. W. O. Bourne

*Question: Any chance to have traffic lights on at Wilson and University Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays, and evenings? Are we saving electricity (for papers money) or lives?*

San Diego Calif.  
July 26 1947.

To the City Council Of San Diego.

Gentlemen:

There is a matter to which I wish to call your attention and which I trust you will give prompt attention, our disgraceful manner in handling our dogs.

One thing that arouses the surprise of tourists and is most embarrassing to a San Diegan, that in a city of our size dogs are as much at large and control things as in a little midwestern on the Mississippi river town of which Mark Twain speaks.

There are many San Diegans who own dogs, who love them and take proper care of them, I know of a street on which there are four dogs, they are well trained never annoy anyone, they have been taught not to bark, their biological requirements are met on the owner's premises. He doesn't want his dog running around, for he does not want him hit by a passing car, he keeps him in his yard so that he does not foul up another man's yard, he does not want him running into allies and pick up garbage that will make him sick. This type of a person loves his dog, keeps him comfortable and protects him. He is a true dog lover.

The other so-called lovers of dogs want our city filled with their dogs, why they want a dog when .75 % of the time they do not know where he is is a mystery. They are indignant when neighbors ask them to stop their dog's barking, which has kept them awake for hours at night. They think it cute when their dog uses the neighbor's yard for his toilet.

Every day one can see a dog sit down right in the middle of a busy street and in order to avoid the dog cars have swerved and bad accidents have been the result. Of course one must not hit a dog

it's allright if a man, woman and child is injured and taken to the hospital. The time has come gentlemen, that those who wish to have dogs must be made understand that they will be held responsible and that it is high time that some of the love given to dogs be transferred to their fellow citizens.

For example, it is unfair when a man or a woman who has been hard at work all day comes home and has his or her sleep disturbed by the hour by some pup who yaps yaps all night. A worker who has lost his sleep cannot do his best at work and not only does poor work but jeopardizes his job. It is most unfair to make antinnoise regulations about radios and other things and leave the worst noise nuisance keep it up because the poor dog will be unhappy if stopped. I know of a case where a woman raises puppies, when weaning time comes they howl all night for a whole week, <sup>in</sup> one of the adjoining houses there is a baby it has been kept awake night after night, so have the parents, the parents get on edge then they fuss, all because of those dammed dogs.

Another thing, we like to have people praise our city we build nice homes we invest money in lawns and shrubs, here comes two mangy scrubby curs, scratch along the grass that the sods flies and presto a nice pile of excreteta on the lawn. You cannot go anywhere but see our finest lawns messed up with two or three piles of this disgusting stuff which spiral upwards. Have we property owners no rights at all? What right has any one to make my lawn look filthy and foul when I work so hard to look descent.

To sum things up. I with others hope that this anti bakk ordinance will be put in effect, <sup>many</sup> many of our San Diegans train their dogs to be quiet, and those who are too ~~dammed~~ lazy should not be allowed to have any.

Dogs should not be allowed at large and any dog who is responsible for any accident should be caught and his owner held laible. All dog owners should be called upon and compelled <sup>to make their dog do</sup> to do what they themselves have to do, leak and crap at home!!! This will stop lots of bad feelings created by

irresponsible dog owners. Many of us are busy in professional and business life and do not have the time to come to the council meetings like the dog lovers do. But it is so obvious that we have the confidence in your sense of justice and fairness.

We do not ask you to abolish dogs, but to see that they are properly kept, in fact that too is a dog's protection

Yours truly.



SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

JUL 28 2 43 PM 1947

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

Edgar L. Taylor  
4018 Arista Street  
San Diego-10-Calif.

July 28, 1947.

City Council of the City of San Diego  
San Diego California.

Gentlemen:

Five months ago we bought a home with adjoining acre of ground in Old Town. We selected this part of the city after reading the Elliot Report, for we were desirous of securing suitable land on which to build in a community which offered architectural control along the old California lines.

Immediately adjoining our property are four homes which are harboring six dogs. These dogs (one or more) have put on an evening serenade which runs from four to six hours, intermittently, for all of these past five months!

I have appealed to all of these dog owners in a very nice manner to do what they could to abate this most annoying nuisance, but have had absolutely no cooperation; in fact in one instance we were rudely affronted. Just how they can put up with this infernal racket themselves is beyond me.

If we go ahead with our plans of development we will probably spend between forty and fifty thousand dollars, but, you may be sure we will not bring in this amount of taxable property unless we have some protection from the city which we help to support.

We certainly hope that you will pass an ordinance with teeth in it.

Respectfully yours,

*Edgar L. Taylor*

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK

JUL 28 2 43 PM 1947

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

3770 44<sup>th</sup> St.,  
San Diego 5, Calif.  
July 28, 1947

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE  
JUL 29 1947  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA  
Mayor Knox and Councilmen;

Dear Sirs;

I do hope that the proposed ordinance for dogs remaining on leash may become law, and rigidly enforced for the sake of traffic safety, not to mention the need for more sanitary condition on lawns and sidewalks where young children play.

Yours truly  
(Miss) Josie Render.

July 27, 1947.

San Diego City Council,  
Civic Center,  
San Diego, California.

Dear Mayor and Councilmen,

I am writing in favor of strict enforcement of the proposed ordinance pertaining to dogs.

We already have ordinances against keeping animals in the city and dogs as well as cats are animals. Here are a list of reasons for keeping dogs out in the country.

1. They are traffic hazards when allowed to roam the streets.
2. They knock over garbage cans in the neighbors yards to scavenge, because their dog-loving owners don't feed them properly.
3. The city is all out for proper sewage disposal, these animals have left their stools all over the neighbors yards and on the sidewalks so that this is sometimes tracked into the house inadvertently.
4. Even keeping them locked up in the yard does not keep them from being a noisy menace as we have to listen to the little dog yelp at all hours of the day and night, and only when we tease the dog so that the owner will call him in the house can we find relief.
5. As a property owner and tax-payer in San Diego for almost 30 years through my family, I should like to see some legislation about cats because the family has found a dead one under the house. They are dangerous bird killers and also pests in screeching and crying out at night. They stalk peoples fishponds for goldfish and also leave their stools in the neighbors yards.

Is a city as fair as ours going to be a haven for fugitives of the humane society, or is it to be a clean, quiet city for people who wish to come here for a rest and to retire?

If dog lovers think that dogs are man's best friend, why don't they try to be a friend to their dogs? They could at least care for their property, because if they don't, the city should permit non-owners to have some ~~recourse~~ recourse besides keeping the city busy to protect their property from these menaces without being fenced in. Make the owners clean up the stools in other people's yards if they wish to keep their animals.

Yours for a better city,

*Walter Mellinger*  
Walter Mellinger,

2876 F Street  
San Diego 2,  
Calif.  
July 27, 1947.

The Honorable Council,  
City of San Diego, Calif.

Gentleman:

In regard to the proposed ordinance of tightening restrictions on the control of dogs, we are heartily in favor of it.

There are a number of dogs in this neighborhood that are allowed to run loose, and they are of great annoyance to us and other neighbors. They have come into the yards often and dig holes in our lawns, and we have friends who are afraid to come and see us because the dogs run out barking and snapping at their heels and frighten them.

My daughter was coming home on her bicycle one afternoon, and several dogs ran at her and pulled at her coat, and frightened her. I called the police and they said they couldn't do anything about it unless we swore out a warrant for the owner's arrest, and the dog would have to bite her first: She had been bitten by a dog a few days before, but we couldn't find out who the owner was.

Not long ago we almost had an auto accident when a dog ran out in front of our car and we had to swerve to keep from hitting it.

If people are such dog lovers, why don't they take care of their pets instead of allowing them to run loose in the streets and frighten other people so much that it causes you to almost hate the dogs, instead of loving them like you are supposed to.

If dogs are properly taken care of, I have no objection to them, but when people tell you they won't bite you, when they are just waiting their chance, something is either wrong with the people, or their dogs.

Sincerely hoping you will vote to restrict this dog ordinance.

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

JUL 28 10 50 AM 1947

Sincerely

Mr. & Mrs. W. R. King  
& Dorothy King.

San Diego, Calif.  
July 27, 1947.

To The Honorable City Council,  
City of San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen:

I am writing you to urge passage of proposed Dog Ordinance for various reasons.

I am sure we are all agreed on the old argument of everyone loving dogs but I never have been able to see why they should be allowed to annoy people and bite them any more than a human should be allowed to violate the law, and as the dog itself cannot be prosecuted, I think it is no more than right that the owner should be held responsible.

Mr. Milhollan should have phrased his statement to read: "a few more laws about stinking dogs", for that is the kind that need regulation and I will wager that if he or any of his family were annoyed or bitten by a dog, he would be the first one to shout outrageously to high Heaven about it.

We have all known of instances where victims were unable to get repress or collect damages from the owners of dogs that had bitten them so I am sure that no fair minded person would object to a fair and equitable regulation of the dog situation which has been postponed for so long due the pressure brought to bear by those that do not believe in fair play for all.

A believer in justice for all.

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

JUL 28 2 35 PM 1947

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA



SAVE THE EASY WAY  
BUY U.S. BONDS  
PAYROLL SAVINGS



THIS SIDE OF CARD IS FOR ADDRESS

JUL 30 11 03 AM 1947

*San Diego City Council*  
*Civic Center*  
*San Diego*  
*California*

650-11<sup>th</sup> Ave.

San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen: —

July 29, 1947.

In draft of <sup>proposed</sup> new "dog ordinance" I suggest you make it as restrictive of dog's out-of-doors activities as is possible. Am not a dog hater but I hate being barked at, snapped at, bitten & the unsanitary habits of dogs on public streets & other areas outdoors. L. B. Kott.

July 28th 1947

Mr. Gerald C. Crary  
712 Pearl Street  
La Jolla, California

Dear Sir:

Please see that the new dog ordinance is put through!

We're very fond of dogs. As soon as we have a fence put up, we intend to have one of our own. But we don't intend to get a dog and have it be a nuisance to all the neighbors. We might have but not after what we've been through.

There are three dogs in our immediate vicinity. All three owners have yards that are fenced in but the dogs aren't kept in there. No. They're sent out and the garbage which is waiting for the truck is knocked over and I must go out and pick up the mess. I will not mention the other messes which - since it is on my property although not mine - I must also take care of. And then the dogs visit on our flowers and vegetables and the things which we used to be proud of either have to be washed off or thrown out. And when I go in the garage and find that one of the pets has walked off with one of my gardening shoes again I would like to throw it at them. "You must discourage them from coming," advises one of the owners sweetly, as I wander around the neighborhood looking for the lost shoe. "You don't mean you want me to hit him?" "Why, sure," he answers comfortably. "With a folded newspaper. Over the rump." I wouldn't hit a dog but I'm beginning to wonder about the owners of the dogs. One thing, though, it wouldn't be with a newspaper. And it wouldn't be over the rump!

Please give us a little peace. Give us the new dog ordinance!

Sincerely yours,

*M. Burnell*

*H. P. Duryea*

M. Burnell  
H.P. Duryea  
455 Retaheim Way  
La Jolla, California

Glencove 5-4114

ARNOLD SERVICE SINCE 1869

SUBDIVISIONS  
PROPERTY--  
MANAGEMENT  
RESIDENCE  
RANCH AND  
BUSINESS  
PROPERTIES  
EXCHANGES  
INVESTMENTS  
MORTGAGES  
INSURANCE  
LEASES  
RENTALS



*Wm H. McCreary*  
MANAGER

1130 SEVENTH AVENUE

**San Diego, Cal.**

July 29, 1947.

OFFICE OF  
CHAS. E. ARNOLD

City Council,  
San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen:

Perhaps 75% of the families do not own dogs.  
Many of the 25% keep their dogs under control now.

A few of the dog owners who care nothing  
about human welfare make all of the sound and fury  
against the proposed ordinance.

Therefor about 80 or 90% of the population  
will be deeply grateful to you upon passage of the  
proposed ordinance and will say "Amen, and orchids  
to each Councilman who votes for it."

Sincerely yours,

Charles E. Arnold.

CEA/A

RECEIVED '08 11 11

1947 JUL 29 11 02 AM

RECEIVED '08 11 11

DOCUMENT No. 376030

Filed JUL 30 1947

FRED W. SICK, City Clerk  
City Clerk

By Clark M. Foote, Deputy  
Deputy

COMMUNICATIONS

FROM

Residents relative to  
proposed ordinance  
regulating the keeping  
of dogs.

(For proposed ordinance)