

436 7 New York St.  
San Diego 3.

To City Councilmen in re-  
gard to Dog Ordinance.

I'm for the ordinance 100%  
I have a dog of my own  
have always kept her fence  
ed in.

Other dogs come around  
dig up the yard. Also dirty  
it up. Then before we can  
let our little girl out in  
front to play, we have  
to clean up after some  
one else's pet.

The people up the alley

bring this dog in our  
backyard to do his duty.

I surely hope this ordin-  
ance goes through.

Mrs. L. Newman  
4567 New York St.  
San Diego 3.

RECEIVED '08 11 02 710

NOV 11 02 710

NOV 11 02 710

7424 So Jolla Blvd.

So Jolla, Calif.

July 27, 47.

J. M. Brary

Councilman So Jolla District

Dear Mr. Brary,

Regarding the Proposed new Dog ordinance coming up before Council Tuesday. I think it is a good idea to require dogs to be kept on their own premises. instead of roaming at large & scratching up peoples flowers etc. & being a general barking nuisance at night. I love dogs, own one, but do not let her out alone, she has a good yard to play in, I take her walks, see she don't bother other people.

Too many dogs are roaming around, recently a lady was bitten near us, & required to take Rabie shots, the dog is still allowed to roam alone. Are dogs more important than Humans  
Sella E. Morrow

San Diego, July 28-47

To the Members of the  
City Council of the  
City of San Diego.

Gentlemen,

In recommending the proposed new "Dog Ordinance" I wish to state a few facts. Since coming to our city in 1919 I and my wife have harbored one or more dogs. We are keeping two dogs now and are very fond of them. But our animals are strictly confined to a fenced-in back yard. Seldom are they on the streets and then only while on leash. We don't like our lawn mussed up by dogs belonging to other people and naturally, we expect these same people to think along the same lines. We also insist on keeping our pets quiet.

I am a citizen and a registered voter

of foreign birth," and I soon found, to my pleasant surprise, that in this blessed country one may pretty well act as one wishes providing, that one does obey the laws and — does not try to step on his neighbors toes, for he enjoys the same rights as I do.

I urge the Members of the Council to enact a law with "teeth" in it and which is designed to enforce strict supervision over the keeping of dogs.

Respectfully,  
John Lewis Fisher  
2021 Diamond St.  
City

San Diego, 4, Calif.,  
July 29th, 1947.

To San Diego City Council,  
San Diego,  
California.

Gentlemen:

We, the undersigned, add our request that you  
pass a new ordinance for the control of dogs in this  
city.

We feel that it is a much needed correction  
in our neighborhood.

F. A. Wolff  
Mrs. F. A. Wolff

4825 Vista St.,  
San Diego, 4,  
California.

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

JUL 30 11 25 AM 1947

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK

July 30/47

To the Honorable  
Mayor and City Council  
San Diego Calif  
Gentlemen

I would like to mention a few things for your consideration regarding this dog controversy.

There are many thousand sick people in San Diego, who have to lay and listen to dogs barking all day and half the night, particularly those suffering from nervous troubles, and it prevents their getting but very little sleep or rest. I have a case in my home of it at present. A dog is not a necessity in a City, but a luxury, and people who want one should be made to control it, so it would not be a nuisance and disturb the peace. There are City Ordinances against unnecessary noise, which have proved effective, and no hardship on anyone, and I believe this could be controlled likewise.

I think the licence fee should be doubled, and there would not be so many people want dogs, and the Pound Management, could put on some extra help occasionally, and pick up some of the stray dogs, now running loose, and causing some of this trouble. It only takes a few dogs barking at night, to disturb

quite a large area. also they are dangerous.  
to Auto Drivers when running and Parking  
at the wheels. I have seen many near accidents  
my self on account of it.

Thanking you for looking into this matter  
I Remain

Yours very Respectfully

J. F. Cooper

4828 Bancroft St

San Diego

Calif

San Diego, Calif.  
July 29, 1947.

The Mayor & Council of the City of San Diego.

Civic Center

San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen;

Please permit me to urge that you go through with your proposal to place regulations on dogs and dog owners.


You will no doubt be subjected to considerable pressure from so-called dog lovers, but dont lose sight of the records of the Prosecutor's Office in reference to the large number of complaints received relative to the dog nuisance.

Permit me to suggest also that the proposed regulations will add no discomfort to dogs or owners and will will make life a lot more pleasant for those who have been annoyed by the dogs.

San Diego will be made a much better place in which to live if the ordinance is enacted.

And in conclusion, real dog lovers do not keep dogs in cities.

Very truly yours,



RECEIVED

JUL 31 11 02 AM 1947

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

DOCUMENT No. 376026

Filed JUL 30 1947

FRED W. SICK, City Clerk  
By Clark M. Foote, Deputy  
Deputy

## COMMUNICATIONS

FROM

*Residents relative to  
proposed Ordinance to  
regulate the keeping  
of Dogs.*

*(For proposed  
Ordinance)*