



SAVE THE EASY WAY  
BUY U.S. BONDS  
PAYROLL SAVINGS



THIS SIDE OF CARD IS FOR ADDRESS.

Mr Elmer Blaise  
Civic Center  
San Diego  
Calif -

San Diego Calif July 28-47

Mr Elmer Blaise -

I do not object to people keeping dogs but if dogs are allowed to run some body has to clean up after them and I do my share of that. I am for passing and enforcing laws to compell dog owners to keep their dogs off of other people's property. Often times we do not know the owner of dogs that bother us. So lets have some new laws about dogs. F. P. Gale 1927 Cypress

4133 50th Street

San Diego 4, Calif.

July 2 , 1947

Mr. Fred Sick, Clerk  
City Council  
Room 356 Civic Center  
San Diego, California

To The City Council:

On the afternoon of July 27th, in less than five minutes, two dogs belonging to neighbors utterly demolished one of my flower beds.

The plants destroyed had just begun to bloom, thus climaxing over six months of hard work and pleasant anticipation for me,--not to mention expense including top-soil, leaf mold, fertilizer, and insecticides. However, the monetary loss is negligible compared with my feelings of discouragement and frustration at having a spot of beauty which I had created for my own and others' pleasure, annihilated by two unsupervised dogs which chose to disport themselves in my flower beds.

I advised the owners of the dogs regarding the damage done by their pets. One owner was "so sorry"; the other appeared indifferent. Neither suggested any intention of doing anything to prevent a recurrence of further destruction.

Other behavior on the part of unsupervised dogs which I have found extremely annoying but not essentially destructive is as follows:

1. Overturning garbage cans and scattering contents.
2. Frequently defecating on lawns.
3. Digging in moist earth around shrubs.
4. Loud continuous barking.

These annoyances make extra clean-up work, and also make it necessary for me to spend time and effort in watching the dogs and chasing them away. It seems that such control of dogs should be their owners' responsibility.

I believe the dog problems I have discussed are typical because they have arisen again and again in my experience and that of friends and acquaintances. I sincerely believe that inadequately supervised dogs are a source of annoyance and destruction in our community. It is time that suitable responsibility is coupled with the privilege of dog ownership.

I, therefore, strongly urge the adoption of a new Dog Ordinance that will provide for the strict control of dogs, and thereby preclude the possibility of dogs trespassing on property and committing disturbance and destruction thereon. I further recommend that such new ordinance make provision for its rigid enforcement.

Very truly yours

*Betty Starkel Creel*  
(Mrs.) Betty Starkel Creel

San Diego, Cal.  
July 28, 1947

City Council of San Diego

San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen:

I would like to urge your body to pass an ordinance to control the dog nuisance in San Diego, or at least to enforce the one already passed.

We have a dog and are very fond of our pet, but we have trained our dog to stay around home and have made it a point not to permit her to annoy the neighbors.

In the vicinity of Kite St and Torrence, I believe there is a dog in every home, and three in one home. With so many dogs around a neighborhood, it is very necessary that they are under control. One female dog is permitted to roam around the neighborhood. Several are continually barking and howling by the hour, which in my estimation is the most annoying part about the dog situation. I trust you will be able to pass some ordinance and enforce it, which will work no hardship on any dog lover.

Yours very truly,

*Helen C. Lutes*

Mrs. C.M. Lutes  
1312 Torrence St.

July 27, 1947.

To the City Council of San Diego, Calif.

We, the undersigned citizens of the City of San Diego, respectfully urge your honorable body not to change the provisions of the present ordinance regulation of keeping dogs within the city. Further, not to pass any new ordinance requiring all dogs to be kept on leash or permitting any person to prosecute the owner of a barking dog.

We urge that the present regulations are severe enough and that more restrictive regulations should not be adopted.

Respectfully submitted:

NAME

ADDRESS

Mrs. Robert Seelas

1777 Neal St. San Diego 3 Calif.

A dog is the only protection my baby & I have as my husband is at sea.

W. W. Albin

3903 Portola Place -

Mrs. Helen Galy

3919 Portola Pl. S. D. 3

my daughter & I live all one - our property backs on a more or less deserted cemetery - our dogs are at least a possible protection

C. E. Wayland

3922

Portola Pl.

Helen C. Wayland

3922

Portola Place

Mr. Ansel Priest

3888

Pringle

Mrs. Margaret Byarth

1815

W. Washington

Mrs. Elij. Finch

3925

Portola Pl.

Mr. Frederick A. Smith

3925

Portola Pl.

Elizabeth Sinclair

1611

W. Sewing

H. C. Willman

1753 Montecito Way

Mrs. H. C. Willman

1753 Montecito Way

Katherine Campbell

3805 Pringle St



# BAY 'N CLIMATE

BRANCH 70, N. A. L. C.  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

BRANCH 70



Organized Nov. 1890

July 28 1947.

City Council,  
San Diego, Calif.

Gentlemen:

I understand that Council is considering the enforcement of the dog ordinance which would require all dog-owners to keep their animals on leash or confined properly on their own premises.

In behalf of the members of Branch 70, National Association of Letter Carriers, may I strongly urge the necessity of the enforcement of this ordinance. Many of our carriers have been hindered greatly by vicious dogs, in the performance of their official duties as well as being subjected to the discomfort of being bitten by animals which are allowed to roam at large.

We are not dog-haters but we believe that citizens have the right to expect safe travel on any of our streets.

We earnestly solicit your support in passing this ordinance or calling for its enforcement.

Very truly yours,

  
Louis E. Maringer, Secretary.

4 355 Logan Ave.



TALBOT 2872



RECEIVED

# The Round Robin

JUL 29 1947

MAYOR'S OFFICE

MEADE LAYNE, M. A., EDITOR  
3615 ALEXIA PLACE  
SAN DIEGO 4, CALIFORNIA

July 28, 1947

Hon. Harley Knox  
Mayor of the City of San Diego.

Sir:

This is to express the hope that you will use your influence to have a stringent "dog ordinance" passed and then enforced. The present regulations are completely disregarded, except possibly with regard to the purchase of licenses, and the animals are a public nuisance. The issue is a very simple one, as to whether a citizen has a right to annoy his neighbors and damage property - and on the other hand, as to whether residents can expect protection. It is only necessary to keep the animals confined or on leash - IF this can be enforced. Many cities have come to recognize this situation and have succeeded in regulating it. Incidentally, perhaps the outbreaks of dog poisoning would be less frequent. I am sure you will find, that once a strict control is enforced, people will accept it as a normal and sensible regulation, and the temporary resentment will subside.

Respectfully

*N. Meade Layne*

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

JUL 29 1 28 PM 1947

MAYOR'S OFFICE  
CITY OF SAN DIEGO

375965

DOCUMENT No. ....

Filed..... JUL 29 1947

..... FRED W. SICK, City Clerk  
By Clark M. Foote, Deputy

By ..... Deputy

COMMUNICATION *✓*

FROM

*Residents relative to  
Dogs*

*(For proposed  
ordinance)*