

San Diego City Council

Gentlemen:-

I wish to refer you to the article in the San Diego Journal of Jan. 23, a copy of which was presented to you a year ago, by Mr. C. B. Schrepel.

Mr. Schrepel made a survey of his neighborhood and found that out of 264 people whom he called upon only nine, owned dogs that were allowed to run loose.

This shows what I have always contended that the loud lamentations of dog owners were out of all proportion to their voting power in the community.

I wish to further call your attention to this matter, that our city papers have seldom mentioned it when children were bitten by mad dogs or dogs that were not mad which is just as bad for the parents are put to the worry and expense of finding out if the dogs ~~were~~<sup>are</sup> mad.

Further that the treatment for mad dog bites cost the people from \$40 to \$60 per child, and at one time in recent years 300 children were bitten within a few days in Los Angeles in just one community.

Resp

C. L. Fairbank  
2476 "A" St.

Jan. 24, 44

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 25 10 46 AM 1945

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

● San Diego, Calif.  
Jan. 24, 1945

A. D. City Council  
City

Dear Sirs:

In regard to the "reasonable control of dogs", I want to say that my family has never been without a dog for a pet and at the present we have one we all love. But — because we love it, we keep it at home and see that it bothers no one. This is not the habit of many people with dogs, who seem to think that loving a dog constitutes letting it free to roam wherever it chooses. I imagine many of these people either care nothing about their

yards and gardens are perhaps are renters with out the incentive to care for growing things. We have both a yard and garden, plus a dog; so feel qualified to say that "reasonable control of dogs" is a much needed ordinance in San Diego.

Very truly,  
V. H. McDowell

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

MAY 25 10 46 AM 1945

RECEIVED  
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

DOCUMENT No. 351988

Filed JAN 25 1945

FRED W. SICK, City Clerk  
By Clark M. Foote, Deputy  
Deputy

COMMUNICATIONS

FROM

Residents favouring  
retention of Ordinance  
regulating the keeping  
of Dogs

filed

JAN 30 1945