

San Diego 4-

Jan 19-45.

To

The Members of the City Council.

Gentlemen:—

I wish to enter a protest against the recently passed City Ordinance regarding the dogs.

In my opinion—the greater majority of people are not molested, to any great extent, by dogs.

The damage to fards is

Gardens are only in rare instances. Such instances are already covered by law. To be applied in case personal agreement cannot be reached between the owner of the offender and the offended.

With so few yards available for the confinement of dogs it would - or may - mean tying them up. That would mean suffering for the dog as well as an annoyance

to many people with in hearing disease. Also it would cause extra work and strain on the dog owner.

The revenue from dog taxes must be quite an item to the City. Now I ask of what benefits are derived from it by the dog owners? or the dog? The fund - as its conditions are - surely could warrant only a small part of the fund.

There are many reasons

logical reasons, why the
new ordinance should not
be adopted.

Thanking you kindly!

Constance M. Sherman.
5002 Fitzhugh Rd.

RECORDED
CITY CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 22 9 48 AM 1975

SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

DOCUMENT No. 351907

JAN 22 1945

Filed

FRED W. SICK, City Clerk

By Clark M. Foote, Deputy

By

Deputy

COMMUNICATION

FROM

Constance M. Stillman
protesting against Ordinance
regulating the
keeping of Dogs

Filed

JAN 23 1945